

| | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/783,810 | HORMANN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. | 1626 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. (3) _____

(2) Chang-Su Lim, Ph.D. {Reg. No. 60,094} (4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 July 2007

Time: 10:05am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to cancel where X is S in claims 1 and 2 because where X is S is a non-elected invention. Dr. Lim indicated that he would speak with Applicant. Dr. Lim called the Examiner @11:12am of same day giving permission to cancel the non-elected subject matter from claims 1 and 2.

BBB
7/20/07